



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,286	02/07/2002	Shinji Abe	401556/TAKADA	7913
23548	7590 07/15/2004		EXAM	INER
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			LEE, BENNY T	
700 THIRTEI SUITE 300	700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

- miletti ilkilik

10 067 286

This application has been examined Responsive to communication file	d on 10 May 2004 This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire The Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become	month(s),days from the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.	Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 .
Pert II SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. Claims	are pending in the application.
Of the above, daims	are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	
3. Claims	
4. Claims 1-18; 19, 20	are rejected
5. Claims	are objected to.
6. Claims	
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R.	
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re P.)	. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on examiner;	•
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has be	en approved: disapproved (see evolunation)
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. Ti	
 Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 	
14. Other	

EXAMINER'S ACTION

SN 67286 U.S.GPO,1990-259-282

PTOL-326 (Rev.9-89)

Application/Control Number: 10/067,286

Art Unit: 2817

The indicated allowability of claims 1-20 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Kokubo et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-18; 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by the Kokubo et al publication (cited by applicant).

Note that Fig. 4 of the Kokubo et al publication discloses a high frequency waveguide comprising first and second reflecting walls. The reflecting walls are each comprised of a plurality of dielectric bars arranged in a plurality of rows or layers. Each dielectric bar comprises a columnar body having regions of distinct dielectric constant. In particular, the region along the axis of each columnar body is lower in dielectric constant (e.g. because such a region is air) than the region of the columnar body, which is spaced from and surrounds the lower dielectric constant region. Note that corresponding metal walls comprised of a plurality of metal cylinders are disposed adjacent the outer most layer of dielectric bars in the first and second reflecting wall. Also, note that opposing conductive plates are arranged such that the reflecting walls and metal walls are disposed between these conductive plates to thus provide a complete waveguide structure.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18; 19, 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2817

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Benny Lee at telephone number 571 272 1764.

B. Lee

BENNYT. LEE Primary examiner Art Unit 2817